

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
Comment Number	Your name (Last name and initial of person commenting)	Your comment. Be as specific as possible. If information presented within the text, table or figure is incorrect, please provide correct information or a source to contact for the information. If you have more comments than lines provided below, just click Table Insert Rows Above (or Rows Below) on the menu in Microsoft Word.	Provide information on the location of your comment in the document. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Chapter number ▪ Page number ▪ Table or Figure number ▪ Paragraph on page 	Please leave this column blank.	Please leave this column blank.
1	DeLuca, R.	Provide table showing all acronyms/abbreviations used throughout the document and what they stand for.	Beginning pages (will adjust this upon completion of final revisions).	Change made	Added an acronyms listing to the beginning of the report.
2	B. Armstrong	Bryce Armstrong should be listed as an alternate on the Policy Committee	Acknowledgments page	Change to Alternate	Change made: "Utah County (Alternate) "
3	McNulty J.	Remove reference to "alternate" next to City of Saratoga Springs under Technical Committee	Acknowledgments	Remove "Alternate"	Change made: "City of Saratoga Springs (Alternate) "
4	Upshaw, H.	Remove "the" from the following – The Joint Land Use Study was undertaken in an effort to guide planning and development in local governments surrounding "the" Camp Williams.	Page 1 under JLUS Project Overview	Change as suggested	Change made: "The Joint Land Use Study was undertaken in an effort to guide planning and development in local governments surrounding the Camp Williams."
5	Upshaw, H.	Remove this duplicate sentence – Joint planning efforts on the part of the local governments and Camp Williams.	Page 1 under JLUS Project Overview	Remove sentence	Change made: " Joint planning efforts on the part of the local governments and Camp Williams. "
6	Upshaw, H.	Remove "the" from the following – Joint planning efforts on the part of the local governments and "the" Camp Williams.....	Page 1 under JLUS Project Overview	Change as suggested	Change made: "Joint planning efforts on the part of the local governments and the Camp Williams will establish recommended strategies that will

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
					equally protect all interested parties.”
7	Fuellenbach	“Camp Williams is the primary Utah National Guard training site for the Utah National Guard Troops...”	pg. 1, JLUS Project Overview, para. 1	Change as suggested	Change made: “Camp Williams is the primary Utah National Guard training site for <u>Utah</u> National Guard troops, and is used as supplemental training space for Fort Bragg troops.”
8	Erlor, E.	Add “(California)” after “Fort Bragg”.	Ch. 1, Pg. 1, “JLUS Project Overview” section, paragraph 1	Add “(California)”	Change made: “Camp Williams is the primary Utah National Guard training site for <u>Utah</u> National Guard troops, and is used as supplemental training space for Fort Bragg <u>(California)</u> troops.”
9	Fuellenbach	“...used as supplemental training space for Fort Bragg troops.” Why Fort Bragg?	pg. 1, JLUS Project Overview, para. 1	See Comment 8	See Comment 8
10	Erlor, E.	Delete penultimate sentence, which is repeated in final sentence.	Ch. 1, Pg. 1, “JLUS Project Overview” section, paragraph 1	See Comment 5	See Comment 5
11	Erlor, E.	Delete “the” before “Camp Williams in last sentence.	Ch. 1, Pg. 1, “JLUS Project Overview” section, paragraph 1	See Comment 6	See Comment 6
12	Fuellenbach	“Joint planning efforts on the part of the local governments and Camp Williams. ” Duplicate	pg. 1, JLUS Project Overview, para. 1	See Comment 5	See Comment 5
13	Fuellenbach	“Joint planning efforts on the part of the local governments and the Camp Williams will establish....”	pg. 1, JLUS Project Overview, para. 1	See Comment 6	See Comment 6
14	McNulty J.	In the middle of the first column, the highlighted section that begins “One of the recommendations...” I do not understand this.	Page 1 of JLUS Project Overview	This is meant to say that there will be a strategy to	Change made: “ <i>One of the <u>key</u> recommendations is for the formation</i> ”

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
				develop an Implementation Team that will meet to oversee implementing the strategies from the JLUS. Suggest adding "key" before recommendations	<i>of a JLUS Implementation Team that will be responsible for overseeing the progress on implementation in the months and years after the JLUS is completed.</i> "
15	Erlar, E.	At end of paragraph, mention separate Camp Williams Background Report, which details the development of each strategy and other supporting information.	Ch. 1, Pg. 1, "JLUS Project Overview" section, paragraph 2	Add suggested text	Text added: " <u>Additionally, a Background Report was prepared in conjunction with the JLUS that details the compatibility issues and process that went into the development of the strategies.</u> "
16	Erlar, E.	Modify 2 nd sentence to: "They also seek to address public health, <u>safety, and welfare</u> , and protection of quality of life in the areas surrounding Camp Williams."	Ch. 1, Pg. 1, "JLUS Project Overview" section, paragraph 3	Change as suggested	Changes made: "They also seek to address public health, <u>safety, and welfare</u> , and safety and protection of quality of life in the areas surrounding Camp Williams."
17	Erlar, E.	Spell out first use of UTARNG in report.	Ch. 1, Pg. 1, "Why Do a JLUS?" section, paragraph 2	Change as suggested	Change made: "The Federal mission is enforced by the President of the United States (U.S.) requiring the <u>Utah Army National Guard (UTARNG)</u> to provide well-equipped and well-trained military units to support the U.S. government in times of war and national emergencies."
18	Upshaw, H.	First sentence doesn't make sense, should it be....In addition to being a critical Utah State "National" Guard training facility?	Page 1 under Economic Benefit to	Change "Nation" to "National"	Change made: "In addition to being a critical Utah State <u>National</u> Guard

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
			the Region		training facility...”
19	Erlar, E.	1 st sentence: delete “State” in “Utah State National Guard”.	Ch. 1, Pg. 1, “Economic benefit to the Region?” section, paragraph 1	Change as suggested	Change made: “In addition to being a critical Utah State -National Guard training facility...”
20	Erlar, E.	In two places, change “FY11” to FY2011”.	Ch. 1, Pg. 1, “Economic benefit to the Region?” section, paragraph 1	Change made. Spelled out fiscal year first time	Change made: “In fiscal year 2011 (FY11) , Camp Williams employed a total of 2,385 personnel, 148 of which are non-military positions.”
21	Erlar, E.	Penultimate sentence: add “housing” to “this <u>housing</u> revenue is directly distributed into the local economies.”	Ch. 1, Pg. 1, “Economic benefit to the Region?” section, paragraph 1	Change made.	Change made: “In addition, military and civilian personnel who are not in-training or transient-status must reside off-base; therefore, thi<u>se</u> -revenue associated with housing and the purchase of goods and services is directly distributed into the local economies.”
22	McNulty J.	Under Economic Benefit to the Region , the first sentence has a reference to National Guard, but it says “Nation Guard”	Page 1 of JLUS Project Overview	See Comment 18	See Comment 18
23	Erlar, E.	Make chart smaller and wording larger to fit chart at bottom of page 1, where the chart is discussed. Revise text at end of page 1 about this chart.	Ch. 1, Pg. 2, top	Modified text at the end of this page.	<u>This \$57.3 million impact is broken into three categories: federal construction at \$2.5 million, federal contracts procured at \$42.5 million, and annual payroll at \$12.5 million (see chart on next page).</u> Adjusted size of chart and font of chart, but due to report layout, it fits on the following page best.
24	McNulty J.	Last sentence of the page “The primary objectives of the Camp Williams JLUS are the following” should end in a colon not	Page 2 of JLUS Project Overview	Change the period to a colon	Change made: “The primary objectives of the Camp Williams JLUS are the

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
		period			following:”
25	Erlor, E.	Change period (.) at end of sentence to colon (:).	Ch. 1, Pg. 2, “JLUS Goal and Objectives” section, paragraph 2	See Comment 24	See Comment 24
26	Fuellenbach	Machine Gun?? We refer to this fire as the Herriman Fire.	pg. 2, Community Support, para. 3	Change “Machine Gun” to “Herriman”	Change made: “For example, the 211th Aviation Battalion assisted the Unified Fire Authority and Utah Forestry, Fire and State Lands in fighting the Machine Gun <u>Herriman</u> Fire in 2010.”
27	Erlor, E.	1 st sentence: delete “Utah Army National Guard” and parentheses around “UTARNG” in “both community and Utah Army National Guard (UTARNG) perspectives and needs.”	Ch. 1, Pg. 3, “Understanding” section	Change as suggested	Change made: “Convene community and military representatives to identify, confirm, and understand the issues in an open forum, taking into consideration both community and Utah Army National Guard (UTARNG) perspectives and needs. This includes public awareness, education, and input organized in a cohesive outreach program.”
28	Erlor, E.	3 rd bullet: delete “other” in “ other landholding and regulatory federal agencies”; replace comma (,) with semi-colon (;) in “landowner and realty associations; and other special”.	Ch. 1, Pg. 3, “JLUS Partners” section	Change as suggested	Changes made: “Other partner agencies and organizations, such as local, regional, and state planning, regulatory, and land management agencies; other landholding and regulatory federal agencies; landowner and realty associations; and other special interest groups (including local educational institutions and school districts).”

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
29	Erler, E.	Clarify text in parentheses: "(see Figure 1 Camp Williams JLUS Study Area map , page 5, for the location of each community):".	Ch. 1, Pg. 3, "Local Communities" section	Change as suggested	Change made: "see the Camp Williams JLUS Study Area map <u>Figure 1, on page 5 for the location of each community</u> "
30	Erler, E.	List communities in alphabetical order.	Ch. 1, Pg. 3, "Local Communities" section	Change as suggested	Change made: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● "Salt Lake County ● Bluffdale City ● <u>Cedar Fort Town</u> ● <u>Eagle Mountain City</u> ● Herriman City ● Lehi City ● <u>Salt Lake County</u> ● Saratoga Springs ● Utah County ● Cedar Fort Town ● Eagle Mountain City"
31	Erler, E.	Modify last sentence to: "A complete listing of the affiliated stakeholder groups that played a vital role in the development of the Camp Williams JLUS are <u>follows</u> :" [i.e. the complete listing . . . follows].	Ch. 1, Pg. 4, "Other Agency" section, 1 st paragraph	Change as suggested	Change made: "A complete listing of the affiliated stakeholder groups that played a vital role in the development of the Camp Williams JLUS are <u>follows</u> :"
32	Erler, E.	Add "and its members are listed in the Acknowledgments section, page ___" to the end of each committee description.	Ch. 1, Pg. 4, "JLUS Committees" section	Change made.	Policy Committee (PC). This committee was responsible for leading the direction of the JLUS; <u>its members are listed in the Acknowledgments section of this report.</u> Technical Committee (TC). The TC identified and addressed technical issues, provided feedback on report development, and assisted in the development and evaluation of implementation strategies. <u>The TC members are listed in the Acknowledgments section of this report.</u>

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
33	Erlar, E.	Delete "and" in :Salt Lake City, and is situated to the west".	Ch. 2, Pg. 5, "Project Study Area" section, 1 st paragraph	Change as suggested	Change made: "Camp Williams is located in north central Utah, 26 miles south of Salt Lake City, and is situated to the west of Interstate 15 (I-15) and straddles the boundaries of Salt Lake and Utah Counties."
34	Erlar, E.	Figure 1 does not show Study Area boundary even though the text mentions "a 2.0- to 3.5-mile area"	Ch. 2, Pg. 5, "Project Study Area" section	No change made.	The study area is reflected by the figures in the report as being the entire area within each figure. Each figure represents the same extent that graphically represents the JLUS study area. A legend item is not needed in the figures.
35	Erlar, E.	Add "and" between list of cities and list of counties for "Saratoga Springs, <u>and</u> the counties of Salt Lake".	Ch. 2, Pg. 5, "Project Study Area" section, 3 rd paragraph	Change as suggested	Change made: "Jurisdictions within the Study Area are the cities of Bluffdale, Eagle Mountain, Herriman, Lehi, and Saratoga Springs, <u>and</u> the counties of Salt Lake and Utah."
36	Erlar, E.	1 st sentence: Add "Utah" to "Air National Guard" for "UTARNG and <u>Utah</u> Air National Guard".	Ch. 2, Pg. 6, "Camp Williams" section, 1 st paragraph	Change as suggested	Change made: "Camp Williams provides training facilities for the <u>UTARNG Utah Army National Guard</u> and <u>Utah</u> Air National Guard, U.S. Army and Army Reserve, U.S. Marine Corps and Marine Corps Reserve, U.S. Air Force and U.S. Air Force Reserve, and the Reserve Officers Training Corps."
37	Erlar, E.	2 nd sentence: change "commonly" to "frequently" or "regularly" or "routinely".	Ch. 2, Pg. 6, "Camp Williams" section, 1 st paragraph	Change as suggested	Change made: "Camp Williams is also an important training site for local law enforcement agencies and is <u>commonly frequently</u> used by youth groups."

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
38	Fuellenbach	Change UTARNG to Utah Army National Guard	Pg. 6, Camp Williams, para. 1	Change as suggested	Change made: "Camp Williams provides training facilities for the UTARNG <u>Utah Army National Guard</u> and Air National Guard, U.S. Army and Army Reserve, U.S. Marine Corps and Marine Corps Reserve, U.S. Air Force and U.S. Air Force Reserve, and the Reserve Officers Training Corps."
39	Fuellenbach	Change 25,000 acres to 24,000 acres	Pg. 6, Camp Williams, para. 1	Change made after verified 24,000 acres is correct.	With over 245,000 24,000 acres, Camp Williams is one of the largest training facilities for the National Guard in the western U.S. Also changed 28,000 to 24,000 in Chapter 2, Page 2-6 in the Background Report.
40	Fuellenbach	Change Basic Airborne to basic airborne	Pg. 6, Camp Williams, para. 2	Change as suggested	Change made: "Camp Williams offers a wide variety of training environments to soldiers, airmen, and marines, such as small arms training, artillery firing and maneuvering, B basic A airborne, demolitions training, land navigation, and helicopter maneuvering." Also made change in Background Report, Chapter 2, pg. 2-6
41	Fuellenbach	"...jump master refresher courses, military academic courses..."	Pg. 6, Camp Williams, para. 3	Change as suggested	Change made: "...jump master refresher courses, military academic courses s ..." Also made change in Background Report, Chapter 2, pg. 2-7
42	Erlor, E.	Penultimate sentence: Change "a" to "per" in "approximately 50	Ch. 2, Pg. 6, "Camp	Change as	Change made: "Training occurs year-round,

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
		weekends <u>per</u> year”.	Williams” section, 4 th paragraph	suggested	approximately 50 weekends a <u>per</u> year and 10 to 12 annual training periods of up to 14 days each.”
43	Erlar, E.	Penultimate sentence: “45 percent or more” conflicts with growth information of 260% on page 2 in “Compatibility Concerns” section	Ch. 2, Pg. 6, “Local Jurisdictions” section	Change made.	Removed “45 percent or more sentence” as it is not needed in the paragraph.
44	Erlar, E.	Last sentence: Add “greatest” or “largest” to describe the “percent change” for “In Salt Lake County, the <u>largest</u> percent change in population was seen in Herriman, which was 999.9%.”	Ch. 2, Pg. 6, “Study Area Growth Trends” section, 2 nd paragraph	Change as suggested	Change made: “In Salt Lake County, the <u>greatest</u> percent change in population was seen in Herriman, which was 999.9%.”
45	Upshaw, H.	Missing the word “tools”	Page 7 – Item 3	Add “tools”	Change made: “Evaluation of existing <u>tools</u> ”
46	Mumford S	Missing word on 3) Evaluation of Existing... (Tools).	Top left – pg. 7	See Comment 45	See Comment 45
47	Erlar, E.	Start of new chapter – there is no heading in the text or font to indicate the start of a new chapter, as was done for Ch. 1-2. Perhaps the 1 st sentence has a heading: “Assessment Process” in the same font as for Ch. 1-2.	Ch. 3, Pg. 7	Add header	Header added: “ <u>Assessment Process</u> ”
48	Erlar, E.	1 st sentence: Delete “the following” in “JLUS included the following three steps”	Ch. 2, Pg. 7, 1 st paragraph	Change as suggested	Change made: “The development of the Camp Williams JLUS included the following three steps”
49	Erlar, E.	3 rd bullet: Add “tools” to “Evaluation of existing <u>tools</u> ”.	Ch. 2, Pg. 7, 1 st paragraph	See Comment 45	See Comment 45
50	Erlar, E.	No. 1 inside solid circle for “Study Area ID” is difficult to read when printed; make number thicker to make it legible. Do same for No. 2 “Issue ID” and No. 3 “Evaluation”.	Ch. 3, Pg. 7, “Study Area” section	Formatting change made.	Formatting adjusted for all numbers surrounded by shaded circles.
51	Erlar, E.	Last sentence: Delete “information on” in “Information was also	Ch. 3, Pg. 7, “Study	Change as	Change made: “This includes general and

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
		collected relevant to current growth trends and information on current development applications.”	Area” section, 3 rd paragraph	suggested	specific plans, zoning regulations, and applicable ordinances / codes. Information was also collected relevant to current growth trends and information on current development applications.”
52	Erlor, E.	1 st sentence: Change “For” to “From” and add “and” in “From Camp Williams, information was collected concerning current and planned facilities, training areas, <u>and</u> operations.”	Ch. 3, Pg. 7, “Study Area” section, 5 th paragraph	Change as suggested	Change made: “ For <u>From</u> Camp Williams, information was collected concerning current and planned facilities, training areas, <u>and</u> operations.”
53	Erlor, E.	2 nd sentence is incomplete and seems to be missing a word.	Ch. 3, Pg. 7, “Study Area” section, 5 th paragraph	Remove “by”	Change made: “This information covered by quantifiable use as well as geographic locations for these items.”
54	Erlor, E.	Add an introductory sentence between “Issue Identification” and “Issues” about how a criterion of compatibility was used to identify JLUS issues. Then, proceed into “Issues”.	Ch. 3, Pg. 7, “Issue Identification” section	Change made.	Moved the subheading of “Issues” between the second and third paragraphs of this section.
55	Erlor, E.	1 st bullet: Capitalize “it” in “Is It a Current Impact?”; add period (.) at end of bullet.	Ch. 3, Pg. 7, “Setting Priorities” section	Make suggested changes	Changes made: “ Is <u>it</u> a Current Impact? Each issue was considered based on its current impact to the compatibility of either Camp Williams or the surrounding areas. Issues posing the most extensive operational constraints or community concerns constitute the highest priority.”
56	Erlor, E.	1 st bullet, 2 nd sentence: Delete 2 nd part of sentence, which repeats first part of sentence: “Issues occurring near the installation are often more critical than those occurring remotely or in areas more distant from operational activities. ”	Ch. 3, Pg. 7, “Setting Priorities” section	Change as suggested	Change made: “Issues occurring near the installation are often more critical than those occurring remotely or in areas more distant from operational more distant from operational ”

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
					activities.”
57	Mumford S	The timelines (2013 or 2014, 2017, 2023) for each priority don't seem consistent with many of the actual timelines shown in the recommended plan tables.	Pg. 8 & recommended plan	Change made.	Changes made to reflect consistency, and timeframe for 10 years or (2023) was deleted as no strategies are projected to take that long to accomplish.
58	Erlar, E.	Last sentence: Change “was” to “were” in Issues were considered based no their future potential using the same criteria that <u>were</u> established for current impact.”	Ch. 3, Pg. 8, “Potential Impact” section	Change as suggested	Change made: “Issues were considered based on their future potential using the same criteria that was <u>were</u> established for current impact.”
59	Erlar, E.	Start of new chapter – there is no heading in the text or font to indicate the start of a new chapter, as was done for Ch. 1-2. Perhaps the 1 st sentence has a heading: “Compatibility Factors” in the same font as for Ch. 1-2.	Ch. 4, Pg. 9	Add header	Header added: “ <u>Compatibility Factors</u> ”
60	Upshaw, H.	During this process, the committees and public also identified a range of individual “issues” statements under each factor. Remove the (s) on issues	Page 9 – First Paragraph	Change as suggested	Change made: “During this process, the committees and public also identified a range of individual issues statements under each factor.”
61	Erlar, E.	2 nd sentence: Delete “s” from “issues” in “During this process, the committees and public also identified a range of individual issues statements under each factor.”	Ch. 4, Pg. 9, 1 st paragraph	See Comment 60	See Comment 60
62	Erlar, E.	1 st sentence is mushy; proposed revision: “Infrastructure Extensions represent three similar issues for Camp Williams according to their location. ”	Ch. 4, Pg. 9, “Infrastructure Extensions” section	Change made.	Infrastructure Extensions represent <u>compatibility</u> issues for Camp Williams based on <u>according to</u> their <u>proposed or planned</u> location.
63	Erlar, E.	Penultimate sentence needs clarification: “The result of infrastructure extensions tends to encourage and increase development <u>in the surrounding communities.</u> ”	Ch. 4, Pg. 9, “Infrastructure Extensions” section	Change as suggested	Change made: “The result of infrastructure extensions tends to encourage and increase development <u>in the surrounding communities.</u> ”

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
64	Erlor, E.	2 nd sentence: Add "annually" to "Camp Williams <u>annually</u> experiences several instances of public trespassing".	Ch. 4, Pg. 9, "Public Trespassing" section	Change as suggested	Change made: "Camp Williams <u>annually</u> experiences several instances of public trespassing due to the lack of an adequate perimeter fence around the entire installation, as well as the geography that occurs in the area."
65	Erlor, E.	Should there be a slash between "Anti-Terrorism" and "Force Protection" since there is a slash in "AT/FP"?	Ch. 4, Pg. 10, "Anti-Terrorism" section	Yes, change as suggested	Change made: " Anti-Terrorism / Force Protection "
66	Erlor, E.	2 nd sentence: Add "in Salt Lake and Utah Counties" to Dust and smoke is not only a nuisance relative to visibility, but it is also an issue for the State of Utah as it relates to air quality <u>in Salt Lake and Utah Counties.</u> "	Ch. 4, Pg. 10, "Dust and Smoke" section	Change as suggested	Change made: "Dust and smoke is not only a nuisance relative to visibility, but it is also an issue for the State of Utah as it relates to air quality <u>in Salt Lake and Utah Counties.</u> "
67	Erlor, E.	1 st sentence: reverse order of "both" and "for" to "structures that impede navigable airspace <u>for both</u> military and civilian aircraft operations."	Ch. 4, Pg. 10, "Vertical Obstructions" section	Change as suggested	Change made: " Vertical Obstructions are structures that impede navigable airspace both <u>for both</u> military and civilian aircraft operations."
68	Erlor, E.	Last sentence: Add "into" to "concerns relative to structures that trespass <u>into</u> navigable airspace."	Ch. 4, Pg. 10, "Vertical Obstructions" section	Change made.	Change made: "It is important to ensure the communities adjacent to Camp Williams plan accordingly to safeguard against unintended safety concerns relative to structures that trespass <u>into</u> obstruct navigable airspace."
69	Erlor, E.	Last sentence: Add "that can degrade the effectiveness of night vision devices" to "produces ambient urban sky glow <u>that can degrade the effectiveness of night vision devices.</u> "	Ch. 4, Pg. 11, "Light and Glare" section	Change as suggested	Change made: "New commercial development can employ unshielded, or non-cutoff lighting, which that produces ambient urban sky glow; that is can degrade the <u>effectiveness of night vision devices.</u> "

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
70	Erlor, E.	3 rd sentence: Clarify by adding “, located on Camp Williams” to “Currently, there are two wind turbine facilities in the study area, <u>located on Camp Williams.</u> ”	Ch. 4, Pg. 11, “Alternative Energy” section	Change as suggested	Change made: “Currently, there are two wind turbine facilities in the study area, located on Camp Williams. ”
71	D. Sakaguchi	Threatened & Endangered Species—To avoid confusion about the eagle nest on Camp Williams, the sentence should be modified as follows: “. . .known designated golden eagle’s nest. . .”	p. 12, top right column, 1 st paragraph	Change as suggested	Change made: “There is a known designated golden eagle’s nest on the installation to which training is off-limits.”
72	Erlor, E.	Last sentence: add “s” to “habitat” at end of sentence.	Ch. 4, Pg. 12, “T and E Species” section	Change as suggested	Change made: “In addition, Camp Williams and the adjacent communities must collaborate in natural events, such as wildland fires, to ensure the survival of species and their habitats.”
73	Erlor, E.	Start of new chapter – there is no heading in the text or font to indicate the start of a new chapter, as was done for Ch. 1-2. Perhaps the 1 st sentence has a heading: “Implementation Plan” in the same font as for Ch. 1-2.	Ch. 5, Pg. 13	Add header	Header added: “ Implementation Plan ”
74	Erlor, E.	1 st sentence: Change “Utah Army National Guard” to “UTARNG”	Ch. 5, Pg. 13, 1 st paragraph	Change as suggested	Change made: “This section identifies and organizes the recommended courses of action (strategies) that have been developed through a collaborative effort between representatives of applicable local jurisdictions, the Utah Army National Guard UTARNG , state and federal agencies, local organizations, the general public and other stakeholders that own or manage land or resources in the region.”
75	Erlor, E.	3 rd bullet, 1 st sentence: Modify wording to “Similar to other planning processes that include numerous stakeholders, the	Ch. 5, Pg. 13, “Implementation Plan	Change as suggested	Change made: “Similar to other planning processes that include numerous

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
		challenge <u>is</u> to create a solution or strategy that meets the needs of all parties is challenging.	Guidelines” section		stakeholders, the challenge <u>is</u> to create a solution or strategy that meets the needs of all parties is challenging.
76	Erler, E.	Move this “How to Read the Implementation Plan” section to follow the “Compatibility Areas” section and to immediately precede the “JLUS Strategies” section that starts on page 21 to make this key easier to find when reading the “JLUS Strategies” tables.	Ch. 5, Pg. 13, “How to Read the Implementation Plan” section	Change made.	The section on How to Read the Implementation Plan has been moved to follow Figure 7 the Land Use MCA and precede the JLUS Strategies Table.
77	Erler, E.	List of codes: Move “Disc” into its correct alphabetical location.	Ch. 5, Pg. 14, “Type of Strategy” section	Change as suggested	Change made: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • “Acq – Acquisition • CIP – Capital Improvement Program • Comm – Communication and Coordination • <u>Disc – Real Estate Disclosures</u> • Hab – Habitat Conservation Tools • Leg – Legislative Tools • MOU – Memorandum of Understanding • MCA – Military Compatibility Area • Plans – Comprehensive / General / Master / Hazard / Airport Plans • Disc – Real Estate Disclosures • Zon – Zoning Ordinance / Subdivision Regulations”
78	Upshaw, H.	Provide Page Number on the Strategy Summaries by Jurisdiction (in the table)	Page 14-51	Change made.	Added page numbers for those pages that did not include page numbers.

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
79	Upshaw, H.	only spelled wrong "only"	Page 14, Under MCA box	Change made	Jpeg corrected.
80	Erlor, E.	2 nd sentence: Revise wording to "This is followed by the complete strategy statement that <u>describes</u> states the action needed."	Ch. 5, Pg. 14, "Strategy" section	Change as suggested	Change made: "This is followed by the complete strategy statement that states <u>describes</u> the action needed."
81	Erlor, E.	2013, 2014: change "l" to "1" in "Year identified for strategy".	Ch. 5, Pg. 15, "Timeframe" section	Change as suggested	Change made: "Year l identified for strategy completion"
82	Erlor, E.	List of acronyms: Show in alphabetical order by acronym.	Ch. 5, Pg. 15, "Responsible Party" section	Change as suggested	Change made: UTARNG — Utah Army National Guard BLM — Bureau of Land Management DNR — Utah Department of Natural Resources FFSL — Utah Division of Forestry, Fire and State Lands MAG — Mountainland Association of Governments UDOT — Utah Department of Transportation FFSL — Utah Division of Forestry, Fire and State Lands DNR — Utah Department of Natural Resources SITLA — State Institutional Trust Lands

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
					Administration UDOT Utah Department of Transportation UTARNG Utah Army National Guard UFA Unified Fire Authority”
83	Erler, E.	List of acronyms: UDOT and UFA are not used in table’s columns – delete from this list.	Ch. 5, Pg. 15, “Responsible Party” section	UDOT and UFA are used in the table; however, these two agencies only had a couple strategies where they are the primary party responsible for implementation. Therefore, we reflected that below the strategy as opposed to creating another row for them. Deleted rows that do not pertain to table.	Change made: “UFA Unified Fire Authority”
84	Erler, E.	1 st sentence: add “s” for “The MCAOD and MCA’s are used to define the geographic areas where the JLUS strategies are to be applied.”	Ch. 5, Pg. 15, “Compatibility Areas” section, 2 nd paragraph	Change as suggested	Change made: “The MCAOD and MCA’s are used to define the geographic areas where the JLUS strategies are to be applied.”

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
85	Erlor, E.	Bullet #4: change "the" to "an" in "Promote <u>an</u> awareness of the size and scope"	Ch. 5, Pg. 16	Change as suggested	Change made: "Promote the <u>an</u> awareness of the size and scope of military training areas to protect areas separate from the actual military installation (i.e., critical air space) used for training purposes."
86	Erlor, E.	Bullet #5: Change spelling by adding "n" to "navigation".	Ch. 5, Pg. 16	Avigation easements is the correct terminology	No change made
87	Erlor, E.	Last sentence: add comma (,) to "Implementation Plan are listed below, and shown and described on the following pages."	Ch. 5, Pg. 16	Change as suggested	Change made: "The four MCAs used in the Camp Williams JLUS Implementation Plan are listed below, and shown and described on the following pages."
88	Mumford S	Figure 3 MCAOD – the overlay district extends across SR73 for a small curved area and also leaves out a very small section on the north side of SR73. Maybe the north one should be filled in and the south one should be cut off at the road? The Land Use MCA cuts off at the road, maybe we should be consistent.	Pg. 16, figure 3	Change made.	Figures corrected for consistency in the MCAOD and Aviation Safety, and Land Use MCAs.
89	Mumford S	The Safety MCA – As I commented in a previous version, I really think this should be called something else, like the Flight MCA, or the Flight Safety MCA, since it is specific to the rotary-wing flight operations. If people read 'Safety MCA' they will think that it refers to artillery or gun ranges that may have safety concerns for residents within that area. It is a little deceiving. The more clarity the better.	Pg. 19	Change made.	Renamed "Aviation Safety MCA".
90	McNulty J.	Second column under Land Use MCA Subzone A, sentence that reads "Strategies for this area include a development density of not more than one dwelling unit per acre", this seems very low. Two units per acre would be better.	Page 20 of Recommended Plan	Change made.	Strategies for this area recommend <u>include</u> a development density of not more than one dwelling unit per acre, <u>but no more than two dwelling units per acre.</u>

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
91	McNulty J.	Land Use MCA Subzone B, "This subzone area is designated to be a transitional area where higher densities / intensities than allowed in Subzone A may be considered." Such as perhaps up to 6 units per acre?	Page 20 of Recommended Plan	Change made.	<u>Allowable densities would be one to six dwelling units per acre except where the Aviation Safety MCA overlays the Land Use MCA subzone. Where this occurs, the recommended density is one to two dwelling units per acre.</u>
92	Erlar, E.	Are there any guidelines for density in this subzone? Perhaps add a comment that density is greater than 1 du/acre but less than the community's regular density(s) on lands outside the 1-mile zone.	Ch. 5, Pg. 20, "Land Use MCA Subzone B" section	Change made, added densities.	<u>Allowable densities would be one to six dwelling units per acre except where the Aviation Safety MCA overlays the Land Use MCA subzone. Where this occurs, the recommended density is one to two dwelling units per acre.</u>
93	R. Allen	The formatting of the columns varies between some pages. It should be uniform throughout the Table.	Pg. 21-40 – Table 1	Comment noted.	Formatting checked and corrected.
94	Erlar, E.	Responsible Party: Change "DNR/FFSL" to "State of Utah" to include all agencies mentioned in the "Responsible Party" discussion on page 15.	Ch. 5, Pg. 21, Table 1.	These agencies are most affected by the strategies developed through this JLUS planning process. Other relevant State agencies have been identified at the end of the pertinent strategy.	No change made.
95	Erlar, E.	Responsible Party: What is the difference between "Camp Williams" and "UTARNG"? Clarify this difference, perhaps in the "Responsible Party" discussion on page 15.	Ch. 5, Pg. 21, Table 1.	Change made on page 15.	<u>UTARNG Utah Army National Guard, the agency that provides oversight and administers the budget to all national guard units in the State of Utah.</u>
96	R. Allen	1E-1A MAG is not listed as a primary participant. (Solid Square) It is not listed at the end of the document under MAG.	Pg. 21	Change made.	Revised all parties relative to responsibility level for this strategy.
97	Erlar, E.	"MOA" is not defined in list of strategy types on page 14; "MOU" is defined but not used for this issue.	Ch. 5, Pg. 21, Table 1, Issue IE-1A	Change made.	Added MOA to list of Type of Strategy found on page 14.

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
98	Erlar, E.	The strategy is for Camp Williams; should an MOA be with Camp Williams, not UTARNG?	Ch. 5, Pg. 21, Table 1, Issue IE-1A	Change made, corrected.	Deleted box from UTARNG.
99	Erlar, E.	Include UDOT as a responsible party (in text or table).	Ch. 5, Pg. 21, Table 1, Issue IE-1A	Add UDOT as a primary agency	Change made: Primary Agency: UDOT
100	Erlar, E.	Issue IE-1B is omitted from table.	Ch. 5, Pg. 21, Table 1, Issue IE-1B	No strategies have been omitted; this just needs to be re-lettered.	Strategies for Infrastructure Extensions have been re-lettered.
101	Erlar, E.	Delete "s" from "CIPs" for consistency.	Ch. 5, Pg. 21, Table 1, Issue IE-1C	Change as suggested	Change made: CIPs Also made the same change for Strategy NV-1H
102	Erlar, E.	Does "Overhead Power Lines" include local service lines as well as regional distribution lines? Clarify.	Ch. 5, Pg. 21, Table 1, Issue IE-1C	Change made.	Encourage Proposed Overhead Power-Utility and Service Lines To Be Located Within Existing Utility Corridors.
103	Erlar, E.	Eagle Mountain has its electrical utility service; current rules require local service lines to be buried, but rules can change, so mention of Eagle Mountain along with Rocky Mtn Power.	Ch. 5, Pg. 21, Table 1, Issue IE-1C	No change made.	Eagle Mountain is identified as a responsible party with the solid square in the table.
104	Erlar, E.	Last sentence: Delete "and" in "JLUS guidelines and to encourage future extensions".	Ch. 5, Pg. 21, Table 1, Issue IE-1D	Change as suggested	Change made: "Update the service plans in accordance with the JLUS compatibility guidelines and to encourage future extensions in established corridors."
105	Erlar, E.	Place "High Priority Issues and Strategies" at top of each page so that reader is reminded of that page's priority level.	Ch. 5, Pg. 22 to 29.	Change made.	Formatting and Typesetting added and adjusted.
106	Erlar, E.	Type of Strategy is "MOU" while Strategy text refers to "MOA", which is confusing.	Ch. 5, Pg. 22, Table 1, Issue IC-1A	Change made	MOUMOA
107	Erlar, E.	Change "Utah National Guard" to "UTARNG".	Ch. 5, Pg. 22, Table 1, Issue IC-1A	Change as suggested	Change made: "Utilize the existing MOA (between the Utah National Guard UTARNG and the Utah

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
					Department of Natural Resources) as a model to execute MOAs with local jurisdictions surrounding Camp Williams to enhance coordination for fire suppression and mitigate hazardous fuels.”
108	Upshaw, H.	“United” Fire Authority – should be “Unified”	Page 22, IC-1D IC-1E, Page 36, SA-2F	Change as suggested	Change made: “Other agencies: United Unified Fire Authority” Also changed all other occurrences in the document.
109	Erlar, E.	Last sentence: Add “s” to “edition” in “TV stations to air special editions on Fire Management Awareness”.	Ch. 5, Pg. 22, Table 1, Issue IC-1E	Change as suggested	Change made: “Work with local TV stations to air special editions on Fire Management Awareness during wildland fire season.”
110	Upshaw, H.	“swamps” should be “swaps”	Page 23, IC-2A	Change as suggested	Change made: “Continue to resolve impediments to execute land transfers or conduct land swaps with SITLA and BLM in order to eliminate non-federal lands within the installation boundaries.”
111	D. Sakaguchi	typo—“land swamps” should be ‘land swaps’ or ‘land trades’	p. 23, IC-2A	See Comment 110	See Comment 110
112	Erlar, E.	Move definitions of “ACUB” and “REPI” into this issue from issues “LU-1D”, “LU-1E” and “LU-2B” on page 25.	Ch. 5, Pg. 23, Table 1, Issue IC-2B	Change as suggested	Change made to IC-2B: <u>Leverage Army Compatible Use Buffer (ACUB) And Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI) Funds To Acquire Easements Of Non-Federal Lands That Are High Priority To Preserving The Mission</u>

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
					<p>At Camp Williams.”</p> <p>Change made to LU-1D: “Identify lands that meet the criteria to compete for Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI) program funds to purchase land or establish easements from local landowners.”</p> <p>Change made to LU-2B: “Pursue conservation partnering opportunities utilizing Readiness Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI) and the Army Compatible Use Buffer (ACUB) program.”</p>
113	Erler, E.	1st sentence: Add “Or Ownership” to “Leverage ACUB And REPI Funds To Acquire Easements Or Ownership Of Non-Federal Lands That are High Priority”	Ch. 5, Pg. 23, Table 1, Issue IC-2B	Change made. ACUB and REPI funds are not used to acquire ownership. Instead, these funds are used to acquire land management rights to said land.	Change made: “ Leverage <u>Army Compatible Use Buffer (ACUB)</u> And <u>Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI)</u> Funds To Acquire Easements <u>Or Ownership</u> Of Non-Federal Lands That Are High Priority To Preserving The Mission At Camp Williams.” ”
114	Mumford S	Grammer – “Invite a Camp Williams’ (should be no apostrophe) Representative to server (remove the “er”) as an ex-officio...”	Pg. 23 – IC-3B	Change as suggested	Changes made: “ Invite A Camp Williams² Representative To Serve As An Ex-Officio Member Of The Jurisdiction Planning Commission. ”
115	Mumford S	IC-3C – should this strategy be changed to 2013 instead of 14? It shouldn’t be hard to incorporate Camp Williams as a review	Pg23	Change to 2013	Change made: “2013 ⁴ ”

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
		agency for applications.			
116	Upshaw, H.	“Server” should be “Serve”	Page 23, IC-3B Page 41, IC-3B Page 42, IC-3B Page 43, IC-3B Page 44, IC-3B Page 45, IC-3B Page 46, IC-3B Page 47, IC-3B Page 48, IC-3B	Make changes to each page.	See Comment 114. Changes made to all pages identified.
117	Erler, E.	2 nd sentence: Delete “s” from “conferences” in “newspaper, television, and press conferences protocols to increase”	Ch. 5, Pg. 24, Table 1, Issue IC-4A	Change as suggested	Change made: “Review and enhance existing website, newspaper, television, and press conferences protocols to increase public knowledge in advance of major training / live-fire exercises.”
118	McNulty J.	For Rows IC-4A, IC-4B and IC-4C, shouldn’t cities be included too?	Page 24 Recommended Plan	Change made. Partner agency boxes are reflective of the involvement efforts of the cities as a partner to Camp Williams once Camp Williams provides the information to the respective cities.	Added partner agency “un-shaded box” to table for Strategy IC-4A.
119	Erler, E.	Post public-education material on Camp Williams’ website.	Ch. 5, Pg. 24, Table 1, Issue IC-4B	Add text saying that materials could be available on	Text added: “ <u>Public education materials should be made available on the Camp Williams website.</u> ”

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
				website	
120	Erlar, E.	Should geographic area be expanded from MCA to Study Area?	Ch. 5, Pg. 24, Table 1, Issue IC-4C	Change made.	MCA Study Area
121	Erlar, E.	Strategy sentence: For consistency, add commas (,) in “public health, safety, and welfare.”	Ch. 5, Pg. 24, Table 1, Issue LU-1	Change as suggested	Change made: “The jurisdiction’s future land use designations around Camp Williams may be incompatible with Camp Williams’ missions and thus may not protect the public health, safety, and welfare.”
122	McNulty J.	Under Land Use LU-1A, not sure this can be completed in 12 months. (by 2013)	Page 24, Recommended Plan	Change made.	Changed year to 2014.
123	Erlar, E.	Add “jurisdiction’s” in two places: “Update and adopt the <u>jurisdiction’s</u> future land use map” and The <u>jurisdiction’s</u> General Plan should be updated on a regular basis”.	Ch. 5, Pg. 24, Table 1, Issue LU-1B	Change as suggested	Change made: “Update and adopt the <u>jurisdiction’s</u> future land use map, specifically within the MCA, and supportive goals, objectives, and policies that encourage a compatible land use pattern for appropriate capital improvement investments. Include Camp Williams as one of the stakeholders in the development of the plan. The <u>jurisdiction’s</u> General Plan should be updated on a regular basis.”
124	Erlar, E.	2 nd sentence: Delete extra words in “Include all jurisdictions within the study area as one of the stakeholders in the development of the plan.”	Ch. 5, Pg. 25, Table 1, Issue LU-1C	Change as suggested	Change made: “Include all jurisdictions within the study area as one of the stakeholders in the development of the plan.”
125	Erlar, E.	Area: Change the area to “MCA” from “Land Use”.	Ch. 5, Pg. 25, Table	No change made	Acquire Lands That Would Provide An Additional

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
			1, Issue LU-1D	to geographic location, strategy phrasing changed.	Buffer Between Camp Williams And The Community To Promote Compatible Development. Identify lands that meet the criteria to compete for Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI) program funds to purchase land or establish easements from local landowners <u>or consider fee simple purchase.</u>
126	Erler, E.	2 nd sentence: Use abbreviation for "REPI" and delete "Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative", which was defined in Issue "1C-2B" on page 23.	Ch. 5, Pg. 25, Table 1, Issue LU-1D	Change as suggested	Change made: "Identify lands that meet the criteria to compete for Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI) program funds to purchase land or establish easements from local landowners."
127	Paula Hill	<p>The response from Rob Smith, our CFO, is that school districts need to inform Camp Williams personnel as well as city officials when school locations are sought and acquired.</p> <p>In relation to this, ASD should inform Camp Williams of the current efforts to acquire property for the new elementary school. At our last meeting with the City, we discussed location, but nothing has been finalized, and there may be other property in consideration as well. However, the site will be on property on the Camp Williams side of Eagle Mountain.</p> <p>Please give me direction for informing Camp Williams of current plans.</p>	Pg. 25	The JLUS process has identified the need of the school districts to coordinate on proposed / planned schools in the area. This has been identified in Strategy LU-2E. The MOU referred to in Strategy IC-3C should incorporate the school districts too.	No action taken, other than provided guidance in response cell to the left.
128	Erler, E.	1 st sentence: Keep ACUB definition here as this wording needs	Ch. 5, Pg. 25, Table	Comment noted	No change made

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
		to stand on its own elsewhere in the reports.	1, Issue LU-1E		
129	Erlar, E.	2 nd sentence: Delete definitions and add “s” in “utilizing Readiness Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI) and the Army Compatible Use Buffer (ACUB) programs. ”	Ch. 5, Pg. 25, Table 1, Issue LU-2B	Change as suggested	Change made: “Pursue conservation partnering opportunities utilizing Readiness—Environmental Protection Initiative (REPI) and the Army Compatible Use Buffer (ACUB) programs. ”
130	Erlar, E.	Other agencies: Following SITLA, add “and local jurisdictions (to identify private landowners)”.	Ch. 5, Pg. 25, Table 1, Issue LU-2B	Change made.	Added <u>Private landowners</u>
131	Erlar, E.	2 nd sentence: add clarification to “other jurisdictions may want to consider <u>an APO</u> as a mechanism to provide”.	Ch. 5, Pg. 25, Table 1, Issue LU-2C	Change as suggested	Change made: “Using the City of Eagle Mountain’s APO as an example, other jurisdictions may want to consider <u>an APO</u> as a mechanism to provide a compatible land use around Camp Williams.”
132	Erlar, E.	Box in BLM column should be on same line as Camp Williams box under Responsible Party columns.	Ch. 5, Pg. 25, Table 1, Issue LU-2D	Change as suggested	Change made
133	Erlar, E.	Need year in Timeframe column; use 2013.	Ch. 5, Pg. 26, Table 1, Issue LU-2E	Add timeframe	Timeframe added: “ <u>2013</u> ”
134	Upshaw, H.	“Schools” should be “school”	Page 26, LU-2E	Change as suggested	Change made: “ Schools Districts should coordinate and consult with Camp Williams prior to identifying future school sites.”
135	Erlar, E.	Title/1 st sentence is unclear: Update something, perhaps “study” or “location of 65 dB noise contour”.	Ch. 5, Pg. 26, Table 1, Issue NV-1A	Change made.	Update tThe Intensity And Frequency Of Military Generated Noise in the data gathered and collected. Conduct a comprehensive acoustic and vibration study of training / firing / maneuver / detonation activities to identify current noise contours (65 dB and higher in 5 dB increments) and identify areas off installation impacted by noise levels in

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
					excess of 65 dB that contribute to the creation of a 65 dB noise contour that extends to off installation lands.
136	Erlor, E.	Should this strategy also apply to new construction? Or, does "NV-1C" adequately cover new construction?	Ch. 5, Pg. 26, Table 1, Issue NV-1B	Change made.	Impulse Noise MCA
137	Erlor, E.	2 nd sentence: For consistency, add "Impulse" to "within the <u>Impulse</u> Noise MCA subzone."	Ch. 5, Pg. 26, Table 1, Issue NV-1D	Change as suggested	Change made: "Inform key sensitive users (i.e., school districts, religious institutions, contractors, etc.) relative to locational, site design, and construction standards within the <u>Impulse</u> Noise MCA subzone."
138	Upshaw, H.	"locational" should be "location"	Page 26, NV-1D	Change as suggested	Change made: "Inform key sensitive users (i.e., school districts, religious institutions, contractors, etc.) relative to locational, site design, and construction standards within the Noise MCA subzone."
139	Erlor, E.	Does this apply only to the Impulse Noise MCA or the whole MCAOD?	Ch. 5, Pg. 27, Table 1, Issue NV-1E	Change made.	Amend / Prepare Supportive Design Guidance and Standards. <u>For new construction,</u> R review and amend existing design guidelines and construction standards to maintain appropriate interior noise thresholds 45 dB.
140	Erlor, E.	Add an open box for Legislature as a Responsible Party.	Ch. 5, Pg. 27, Table 1, Issue NV-1E	Add as suggested	Change made: Opened box added to Legislature
141	McNulty J	LU-2F, change "require" to "consider". I am not sure this can be done in 12 months	Page 26 Recommended Plan	Change made.	Year completed changed from 2013 to 2014. The word 'require' has remained.
142	Mumford S	NV-1B and NV-1F seem very similar, and should probably be	Pg 26 & 27	Change made.	NV-1B was deleted.

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
		combined or else one should be removed. They are repetitive.			
143	McNulty J	NV-1F, change “require” to “recommend” and change Timeframe to 2014	Page 27 Recommended Plan	Change made.	Recommend and Amend Local Jurisdiction to Require Develop Sound Attenuation Building Standards For New Construction. Amend city and county building codes to require sound attenuation for new construction of noise sensitive land uses located in Impulse Noise and Safety MCA subzones. Structures shall be required permitted to be designed and constructed so as to limit their interior noise level to no greater than 45 dB_{Ldn} .
144	Erlor, E.	2 nd sentence: Change “45 Ldn ” to “45 dB ” to match strategies NV-1B and NV-1C of page 26.	Ch. 5, Pg. 27, Table 1, Issue NV-1F	Change as suggested	Change made: “45 Ldn B ”
145	Erlor, E.	1 st sentence: Clarify by modifying to “Develop A Voluntary Sound Attenuation Program For <u>Retrofitting Residential Uses Retrofit Program.</u> ”	Ch. 5, Pg. 27, Table 1, Issue NV-1G	Change made.	Develop A Voluntary Sound Attenuation Retrofit Program For Residential Uses Retrofit Program.
146	McNulty J	NV-1G, Impulse, Noise and Safety, should each city really be required to do this? Elected officials may not agree.	Page 27 Recommended Plan	It will be up to each individual jurisdiction as to whether they implement the strategy (there is no formal requirement), but they are shown as included parties for the purposes of the recommendation	No change made.
147	Erlor, E.	“FAA” is not defined; this is the first use of “FAA”.	Ch. 5, Pg. 27, Table 1, Issue NV-1H	Add definition for FAA	Change made: “ Leverage The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Noise Abatement Program. ”

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
					Also made change to Salt Lake County strategies, on pg. 48
148	Erler, E.	2 nd sentence: Modify to “additional assistance and resources for noise abatement in the area around those airports.”	Ch. 5, Pg. 27, Table 1, Issue NV-1H	Change as suggested	Change made: “Consider leveraging the FAA Noise Abatement program for civilian airports in the area to provide some additional assistance and resources for noise abatement in the area around those airports.”
149	Erler, E.	Add Utah County as a Responsible Party to include the private civilian airports in Eagle Mountain; I’m not sure which Dep’t of Airports covers the Utah County airports for FAA.	Ch. 5, Pg. 27, Table 1, Issue NV-1H	Add Utah County	Change made: Closed box added for Utah County, and Strategy NV-1H was added to the Utah County strategy table on page 49
150	Erler, E.	1 st sentence: Add dash (-) to “Fly Neighbor-Friendly” for consistency.	Ch. 5, Pg. 27, Table 1, Issue NV-2A	Change as suggested	Change made: “ Enforce And Inform About The “Fly Neighbor-<u>F</u>riendly” Protocol. ” Also made changes to Camp Williams (pg. 49) and UTARNG (pg. 50) primary strategies
151	Erler, E.	Add Utah County as a Responsible Party.	Ch. 5, Pg. 27, Table 1, Issue NV-2A	Add Utah County	Change made: Opened box added for Utah County
152	D. Sakaguchi	SA-1—Establish Wildfire Overlay District This should be a required real estate disclosure, similar to NV-IE (page 27), should be done for Wildfire Overlay District (WOD)	p. 27 or 35		Need more information to address?
153	McNulty J	NV-3A, In most cities after 10pm and not before 7 am for quiet hours	Page 28 Recommended Plan	No change made.	Camp Williams must train a certain number of hours per year in order to accomplish their mission. Camp Williams is already constrained with wildfire season, so the extra hours allow Camp Williams to perform the number of hours to satisfy the training requirement.

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
154	Erler, E.	Delete three "s" and add two commas (,): " Ground Training Missions From The Improvised Explosive DeviceIED Defeat Course Generates Off-Base Noise And Vibration Impacts. Military training activities, such as navigation training by the Readiness Training Institute (RTI), use of the Improvised Explosive Device IED Defeat Course, and vehicle use, creates noise and vibration that travels off-installation.	Ch. 5, Pg. 28, Table 1, Issue NV-3	Change as suggested	Changes made: " Ground Training Missions From The Improvised Explosive DeviceIED Defeat Course Generates Off-Base Noise And Vibration Impacts. Military training activities, such as navigation training by the Readiness Training Institute (RTI), use of the Improvised Explosive Device IED Defeat Course, and vehicle use, creates noise and vibration that travels off-installation."
155	Erler, E.	IED is defined on page 15 under "Light and Glare Issues".	Ch. 5, Pg. 28, Table 1, Issue NV-3	Change "Improvised Explosive Device" to "IED"	Change made: See Comment 154
156	Erler, E.	2 nd sentence: Replace "to" with "and" in "IED course use between the hours of 6 am to and 11 pm".	Ch. 5, Pg. 28, Table 1, Issue NV-3A	Change as suggested	Change made: ". Schedule artillery, live-fire, helicopter flights, and IED course use between the hours of 6 am to and 11 pm, whenever feasible."
157	Erler, E.	Modify wording for clarity: "perimeter passes (prior <u>to</u> or at the conclusion of mission training and within the <u>boundary</u> fence) around the installation".	Ch. 5, Pg. 28, Table 1, Issue PT-1B	Change as suggested	Change made: "Utilize surveillance drones and consider scheduling additional flight time by UTARNG aviators to conduct partial or complete perimeter passes (prior <u>to</u> or at the conclusion of mission training and within the fence <u>lineboundary</u>) around the installation during typical day and night missions and report any suspected trespass activity."

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
158	Erlor, E.	Issue PT-1 notes that there is an incomplete boundary fence.	Ch. 5, Pg. 28, Table 1, Issue PT-1B	Change "fence line" to "boundary"	Change made: See Comment 157
159	Erlor, E.	Change "State National guard" to "UTARNG"	Ch. 5, Pg. 28, Table 1, Issue PT-1C	Change as suggested	Change made: "In partnership with the State National Guard UTARNG, construct a physical fence barrier along the installation perimeter where the risk of trespassing is high, considering major wildlife corridors."
160	Erlor, E.	Modify end of sentence to "trespassing is high, <u>while taking</u> considering major wildlife corridors <u>into consideration</u> ."	Ch. 5, Pg. 28, Table 1, Issue PT-1C	Change as suggested	Change made: "In partnership with the State National Guard UTARNG, construct a physical fence barrier along the installation perimeter where the risk of trespassing is high, eonsidering-while <u>taking</u> major wildlife corridors <u>into consideration</u> ."
161	McNulty J	PT-1D, Not sure if cities will agree. Taxes, police depts. More	Page 28 Recommended Plan	It will be up to each individual jurisdiction as to whether they implement the strategy (there will be no formal requirement), but they are shown as included parties for the purposes of inter-agency coordination and involvement in implementing the	No change made

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
				strategy.	
162	McNulty J	AT-1A and AT-1B, May put too much burden on local police. Who will pay for this?	Page 29 Recommended Plan	Funding for strategies that are chosen to move forward with implementation will be identified during the implementation phase.	No change made
163	Erlar, E.	Responsible Party: add UTARNG as an open box.	Ch. 5, Pg. 29, Table 1, Issue PT-1F	Change made.	Add UTARNG. Opened box added for UTARNG
164	Erlar, E.	"AT-FP" is not defined anywhere in document.	Ch. 5, Pg. 29, Table 2, Issue AT-1B	Change "AT-FP" to "AT / FP"	Change made throughout document.
165	Erlar, E.	What "non-governmental funding" would be available? Should this be "local governmental funding"?	Ch. 5, Pg. 29, Table 2, Issue AT-1B	Change made.	Leverage Local Funds For Interagency AT / FP Training. Utilize local law enforcement and non-government <u>organization</u> funding to provide multi-agency AT / FP training to surrounding law enforcement agencies.
166	Erlar, E.	Place "Medium Priority Issues and Strategies" at top of each page so that reader is reminded of that page's priority level.	Ch. 5, Pg. 30 - 32, Table 2	Formatting change made.	Text added on all tables beginning on a new page. Formatting adjusted.
167	Erlar, E.	2 nd sentence is confusing; suggested revision: " Utilize, incorporate, and budget for the AT-FP unified facilities criteria <u>using</u> DoD minimum standards for construction of installation perimeter fence, considering <u>with</u> appropriate stand-off distances."	Ch. 5, Pg. 30, Table 2, Issue AT-1C	Change as suggested	Change made: "Utilize, incorporate, and budget for the AT / -FP unified facilities criteria <u>using</u> DoD minimum standards for construction of installation perimeter fence, considering <u>with</u> appropriate stand-off distances."

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
168	Erlar, E.	2 nd sentence: Modify wording to “Frequent travel on unpaved roads, the use of the IED Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Lane, and urban wildland fires generate dust and smoke on Camp Williams and surrounding lands, that create <u>creating</u> nuisances for sensitive uses and air quality concerns.”	Ch. 5, Pg. 30, Table 2, Issue DS-1	Make first suggested change, but not the second.	Change made: “ Activities. Frequent travel on unpaved roads, the use of the Improvised Explosive Device <u>IED</u> Defeat Lane, and urban wildland fires generate dust and smoke on Camp Williams and surrounding lands that create nuisances for sensitive uses and air quality concerns.”
169	Erlar, E.	IED is defined on page 15 under “Light and Glare Issues”.	Ch. 5, Pg. 30, Table 2, Issue DS-1	See Comment 168	See Comment 168
170	Erlar, E.	2 nd sentence: Modify wording to “ <u>to include</u> ensure best management practices are utilized and <u>to</u> incorporates the strategic directions of the integrated wildfire management plan.”	Ch. 5, Pg. 30, Table 2, Issue DS-1B	Change as suggested	Change made: “...Camp Williams should consider updating their 2003 Fugitive Dust Plan to ensure <u>include</u> best management practices are utilized and <u>to</u> incorporates the strategic directions of the integrated wildfire management plan.”
171	Erlar, E.	Identify local jurisdictions and UTARNG with open boxes as Responsible Parties.	Ch. 5, Pg. 30, Table 2, Issue DS-1C	Change made.	Added open box to UTARNG and jurisdictions.
172	Erlar, E.	2 nd sentence: Modify wording to “to enable military comment and feedback on pertinent transportation <u>plans and</u> expansions.”	Ch. 5, Pg. 31, Table 2, Issue RC-1B	Change as suggested	Change made: “Establish an MOU that invites a Camp Williams representative to participate as a stakeholder to enable military comment and feedback on pertinent transportation <u>plans and</u> expansions.”
173	R. Allen	RC-1B This is a bit of a duplication of the above comment but MAG should be involved in transportation planning coordination.	Pg. 31	MAG is listed as a primary party for	No change

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
				implementation	
174	Erlor, E.	2 nd sentence: Add comma and modify wording to “and wind turbines, within the helicopter flight corridors and could . . . the safety of the public and the military pilots personnel.”	Ch. 5. Pg. 31, Table 2, Issue VO-1	Modify sentence	Change made: “The presence of tall structures, <u>such as telecommunication towers, transmission lines, and wind turbines</u> , may pose a vertical obstruction, such as telecommunication towers, transmission lines, and wind turbines within the helicopter flight corridors and could increase the potential for collisions, endangering the safety of the public and the military <u>pilots personnel</u> .”
175	Erlor, E.	1 st sentence: Add word to “Restrict <u>Obstacle</u> Height”.	Ch. 5. Pg. 31, Table 2, Issue VO-1B	Change made, re-phrased strategy	<u>Discourage Placement of Tall Structures within Safety Flight Corridors Restrict Height To No More Than 200 Feet Within The Safety MCA.</u> The Discourage t placement of cell towers, above-ground electrical transmission lines, wind turbines, and other <u>structures with</u> heights exceeding 200 feet within the <u>Aviation Safety MCA should be located in an area that does not obstruct FAA airspace.</u> Other Agencies: Rocky Mountain Power, SITLA
176	Erlor, E.	3 rd sentence: Modify wording to “Other Agencies”.	Ch. 5. Pg. 31, Table 2, Issue VO-1B	Change as suggested	Change made: “Other Agencies: Rocky Mountain Power, SITLA”
177	Mumford S	VO-1B and VO-1D seem like the same strategy as well. Repetitive. Combine them or delete one.	Pg 31	Change made.	<u>Restrict Height To No More Than 200 Feet Within The Safety MCA Discourage Placement of Tall Structures within Safety Flight Corridors.</u> Discourage t The placement of cell towers, above-ground electrical transmission lines, wind turbines, and other <u>structures with</u> heights exceeding 200 feet within the Safety MCA <u>should be located in an area</u>

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
					<p><u>that does not obstruct FAA airspace.</u> Other <u>AgencyAgencies</u>: Rocky Mountain Power, SITLA</p> <p>Amend Zoning Code To Include Height Restrictions Within The Safety MCA Subzone. <u>Height restrictions should be amended to not exceed 200 feet and should comply with Federal Aviation Regulation (FAR) Part 77 to ensure unobstructed airspace.</u></p>
178	Erlar, E	Place "Low Priority Issues and Strategies" at top of each page so that reader is reminded of that page's priority level.	Ch. 5. Pg. 32, Table 3	Formatting change made.	Text added on all tables beginning on a new page. Formatting adjusted.
179	Erlar, E.	2 nd sentence: Add a space between "airports within a" and "15-mile radius surrounding Camp Williams."	Ch. 5. Pg. 32, Table 3, Issue CL-1	Change as suggested	Change made: "There exist numerous improved and unimproved public and private use airports within a 15-mile radius surrounding Camp Williams."
180	Erlar, E.	Should "Airport 18" be called Salt Lake City No. 2 Airport or is this the name of the private airstrip between Jake Garn and Cedar Valley airports?	Ch. 5. Pg. 32, Table 3, Issue CL-1A	No change made.	Number 18 Airport is located just South of Salt Lake City No. 2 Airport. (See Figure 2-12 in the Background Report for geographic location in the regional context and page 2-37 in the Background Report for facility description).
181	Erlar, E.	2 nd sentence: Change "National Guard" to "UTARNG".	Ch. 5. Pg. 33, Table 3, Issue CL-2	Change as suggested	Change made: "The arrival and departure routes for commercial aviation traffic to / from Salt Lake City International Airport (SLCIA) extend above the National Guard UTARNG rotary-wing traffic transiting above Camp Williams."
182	Erlar, E.	Does this committee include the FAA and commercial aircraft? Clarify that in this Strategy.	Ch. 5. Pg. 33, Table 3, Issue CL-2B	Change made.	Establish A Military-Community Airport / Airspace Advisory Committee. Creating a military

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
					community partnership <u>including FAA representation</u> to discuss airspace and other operational capabilities will help reduce conflicts between military and civilian aircraft and training activities.
183	Erler, E.	1 st sentence: Add words to “Specific Business And Employment Types <u>Of Frequencies</u> Can Attract” to clarify.	Ch. 5. Pg. 33, Table 3, Issue FC-1	Change made. However, this issue is talking about available bandwidth, not frequencies.	Specific Business And Employment Types Can Attract And Expand Utilization Of Bandwidth Utilized-Used By The Military. The Utah Data Center (UDC) is expected to serve as a catalyst for other primary and supportive research and development entities within the surrounding area as well as the resulting increase in population that utilizes such frequencies.
184	Erler, E.	The wording used in this issue needs some clarification and/or expansion so that the layman understands enough to realize the importance of the issue; otherwise, the issue will be ignored by the stakeholders.	Ch. 5. Pg. 33, Table 3, Issue FC-1 and FC-1A	Change made.	Monitor Radio-FrequencyBandwidth Capacity To Prevent Frequency-EncroachmentDisruption of Military / Civilian Operations. Work together to monitor existing bandwidth use and establish procedures for identifying major future users that could utilize substantial available capacity.
185	Mumford S	LG-1A – dark sky ordinance. Should this really wait until 2017? I guess it is not a high priority, but it is a fairly easy one. It is also very similar to LG-1B. I feel like some of these are just repetitive so that there are more strategies. It isn’t a bad thing to combine or reduce the total number of strategies. The less there are, the more likely the cities are to actually implement them. Too many just seems overwhelming.	Pg 34	Changes made.	Changed 2017 to 2014. And, deleted LG-1B.
186	Erler, E.	Spelling: “Wideband” in 1 st sentence and “wide band” in 2 nd sentence.	Ch. 5. Pg. 33, Table 3, Issue FI-1	Change “wide band” to “wideband”	Change made: “The presence and potential increase of wide-band usage and development of substation, overhead electrical and other vertical structures may interrupt important ground-to-ground and air-to-ground military communications.”

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
187	Erlar, E.	2 nd sentence: Modify wording to “development of <u>electrical</u> substation, <u>and</u> overhead electrical and other vertical”.	Ch. 5. Pg. 33, Table 3, Issue FI-1		Wideband Use And Vertical Obstructions Can Restrict Or Degrade Communications. The presence and potential increase of wide band usage and development of <u>electrical</u> substation, overhead electrical, and other vertical structures may interrupt important ground-to-ground and air-to-ground military communications.
188	Erlar, E.	The wording used in this issue needs some clarification and/or expansion so that the layman understands enough to realize the importance of the issue; otherwise, the issue will be ignored by the stakeholders.	Ch. 5. Pg. 33, Table 3, Issue FI-1, FI-1A and FI-1B	Changes made.	Encourage frequency impedance type of structures <u>that generate frequencies and provide frequency services</u> (i.e. radio towers) be located outside the Safety and Land Use MCA. <u>The placement of these structures can disrupt military communication operations. To prevent incompatible uses.</u> Coordinate Frequency Spectrum Plans. To the extent possible and when known, coordinate structures or development requiring the use of certain frequencies spectrum with Camp Williams to minimize potential interruption of military communications.
189	Erlar, E.	3 rd sentence: Modify wording to “The <u>nighttime</u> presence of intense light . . . development can reduce or and sometimes completely <u>restrict visibility for limit</u> aviators <u>and ground personnel visibility.</u> ”	Ch. 5. Pg. 34, Table 3, Issue LG-1	Change as suggested	Change made: “The <u>nighttime</u> presence of intense light and glare (sky glow) from civilian development can reduce and sometimes <u>or</u> completely <u>limit restrict visibility for</u> aviators <u>and ground personnel visibility.</u> ”
190	R. Allen	LG-1B MAG does not have any jurisdiction or influence on lighting.	Pg. 34	Change made.	Deleted LG-1B.
191	R. Allen	L1-1B and AQ-1B, Both of these administrative Code changes have already been made and will come into effect within the next few months.		Comment noted.	This recommendation will remain in the strategies table to indicate it was considered, recommended, and the Legislature can indicate this was completed prior to the end of the JLUS process.

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
192	McNulty J	LG-1C, I think each entity can play a supporting role but not be responsible	Page 34 Recommended Plan	Correct. Change made.	Open boxes replaced shaded boxes.
193	Erlar, E.	2 nd sentence; Modify wording to “work together to identify the ability to implement <u>(and potentially retrofit)</u> unique lighting standards along new (and potentially retrofit) roadways within the Light MCA”.	Ch. 5, Pg. 34, Table 3, Issue LG-1C	Change as suggested	Change made: “UDOT and the eight local jurisdictions should work together to identify the ability to implement <u>(and potentially retrofit)</u> unique lighting standards along new (and potentially retrofit) roadways within the Light MCA to balance safety with nighttime training at Camp Williams.”
194	McNulty J	LG-1D, Implementation costs are placed on the cities, as with the above, I think we can play a supporting role but not be responsible	Page 34 Recommended Plan	Correct. Change made.	Open boxes replaced shaded boxes.
195	Erlar, E.	1 st sentence: “Improvised Explosive Device” is defined on page 15 under “Light and Glare Issues”.	Ch. 5, Pg. 35, Table 3, Issue LG-2	Remove “Improvised Explosive Device”	Change made: “ Improvised Explosive Device (IED) Course Generated Glare. ”
196	Erlar, E.	2 nd sentence: Add clarifying words to “Use of the <u>Wood Hollow Road IED Defeat Course Lane</u> (along the northern”.	Ch. 5, Pg. 35, Table 3, Issue LG-2	Change made.	IED Course Generated Glare. Use of the IED Defeat Lane (along the northern border of Camp Williams) during nighttime hours generates intense light flashes that may be visible off-base and considered a nuisance.
197	Erlar, E.	2 nd sentence: Modify wording for consistency to “training along the Wood Hollow Road IED Defeat Course”.	Ch. 5, Pg. 35, Table 3, Issue LG-2A	Change made.	Minimize Military Glare For Civilians. Camp Williams should evaluate the off-installation occurrence of light generated by night training conducted along the Wood Hollow Road IED Defeat Course and determine appropriate measures to reduce any extensive light trespass nuisances.
198	Erlar, E.	2 nd sentence: Change “boundary” to “boundaries”.	Ch. 5, Pg. 35, Table 3, Issue SA-1A	Change as suggested	Change made: “Each jurisdiction should establish a WOD that encompasses the

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
					high-to-medium risk areas within their boundaries where specialized building construction standards and landscaping design are regulated to safeguard against wildfire damage.”
199	B. Armstrong	Under the safety issued for urban wildland fires, a strategy references a wildland overlay district. Utah County has created an Urban Wildland Interface area, a portion of which covers areas south and west of Camp Williams.	Page 35		Need more information to address?
200	Erlar, E.	Issue/Strategy numbering jumps from SA-1B to SA-2C; should SA-2C through SA-2G be SA-1C through SA-1H?	Ch. 5, Pg. 36, Table 3, Issue SA-2C	Re-lettered	Re-lettered strategies to be consecutive.
201	Mumford S	SA-2D – I wasn’t sure if this is referring to wildland areas or within neighborhoods. It should probably be more clear.	Pg 36	Change made.	Review And Update Neighborhood Emergency Response Design Standards. Develop / update building-neighborhood design standards to review proposed development to provide for promptensure adequate and efficient access by local emergency and fire response units.
202	McNulty J	SA-2D, should be hollow squares. Cities to play supporting roles	Page 36	Correct. Change made.	Open boxes replaced shaded boxes.
203	Laura Ault	I had our Wasatch Front Area Manager look at the document as well. Overall, there were only minor typos that I think Matrix will catch. One concern, however, was that implementation of a Wildfire plan was a low priority. Given the recent history of fire on base it seems it should have a higher priority.	Pg. 36(?)	Correct. Wildfire Issues and Strategies throughout the document have been moved to High Priority.	Wildfire (Safety Issues moved to High Priority).
204	Erlar, E.	Where is Issue AQ-1A?	Ch. 5, Pg. 37, Table 3, Issue AQ-1B	Change made. No missing issues, just needs	Strategies re-lettered.

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
				to be re-lettered.	
205	Erlar, E.	Add clarification to "(See Strategy LI-1B <u>below</u>)" as it took some electronic searching to find this strategy; difficult for paper-copy readers to perform.	Ch. 5, Pg. 37, Table 3, Issue AQ-1B	Add "later in this table"	Change made: "(See Strategy LI-1B <u>later in this table</u>)."
206	Erlar, E.	Add bold lines in Responsible Party columns between Utah County - Camp Williams and UTARNG - MAG/WFRC.	Ch. 5, Pg. 37, Table 3, Issue AQ-1B	Add lines as suggested	Change made: bold lines added
207	Erlar, E.	There are two wind turbines physically standing at Camp Williams; is one of these turbines not hooked up?	Ch. 5, Pg. 37, Table 3, Issue AE-1	There is only one wind turbine within the Study Area; it is not on Camp Williams. The other turbine mentioned here is proposed; it has not been approved or constructed.	No action taken.
208	Erlar, E.	Place "Awareness Issues and Strategies" at top of each page so that reader is reminded of that page's priority level.	Ch. 5, Pg. 38, Table 4	Formatting change made.	Text added on all tables beginning on a new page. Formatting adjusted.
209	McNulty J	HA-1B, This sounds like a mandate, Cities play a supporting role. Utah County as a Housing Authority to assist.	Page 38	Change made.	Incorporate Military Housing Needs In Local Plans. Understand the quality and type of housing the military requires and incorporate eligible, qualified housing units into comprehensive, general, and master plans.
210	Erlar, E.	2 nd sentence: Modify wording to "Develop legislation <u>that</u> to requires local jurisdictions, within a defined distance from military installations, to establish formal notice / comment <u>from the military installation</u> on submitted development plans, impacts associated with general / comprehensive plans, and	Ch. 5, Pg. 38, Table 4, Issue LI-1A	Modify using some of the suggested changes	Changes made: "Develop legislation to-that requires local jurisdictions within a defined distance from military installations to establish formal notice /

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
		their compatibility with the installation's mission."			comment <u>process</u> on submitted development plans, impacts associated with general / comprehensive plans, and their compatibility with the installation's mission."
211	Erler, E.	2 nd sentence: Delete long-form names as there are defined earlier in document: Utah School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration (SITLA) and the Bureau of Land Management (BLM).	Ch. 5, Pg. 39, Table 4, Issue NR-1	Change as suggested	Changes made: "...however, the Utah School and Institutional Trust Lands Administration (SITLA) and the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) own property within and immediately surrounding the installation."
212	Erler, E.	3 rd sentence: Modify wording to "could present incompatible activities <u>incompatible</u> with military operations."	Ch. 5, Pg. 39, Table 4, Issue NR-1	Change as suggested	Change made: "Uncoordinated extraction of natural resources both within and adjacent to Camp Williams could present incompatible activities <u>that are incompatible</u> with military operations."
213	Erler, E.	2 nd sentence: Modify wording to "all mining operations in the <u>MCAOD JLUS study area</u> with Camp Williams".	Ch. 5, Pg. 39, Table 4, Issue NR-1	Change made.	Coordinate Mining Development. Coordinate the approval of all mining operations in the <u>MCAOD JLUS study area</u> with Camp Williams to ensure conformance with military training operations.
214	Erler, E.	"UTNG AGCW" is not defined in document; UTNG should be <u>UTARNG</u> .	Ch. 5, Pg. 39, Table 4, Issue NR-1C	Changes made.	Continue / Enhance Vegetation Mitigation. UTNG AGCW <u>Camp Williams</u> shall continue to thin vegetation on the installation to enhance mission readiness and mitigate fire hazards. Implement The Noxious Weed Management Plan. Invasive weeds attack native plant species thus destroying habitat. UTNG AGCW <u>Camp Williams</u> and surrounding jurisdictions, in collaboration with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources, should develop and

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
					implement noxious weed management programs on state and private lands to enable habitat rehabilitation and revitalization of natural ecosystems near Camp Williams.
215	Erlor, E.	"USFWS" is not defined in document	Ch. 5, Pg. 39, Table 4, Issue NR-1D	Add definition	Change made: "In collaboration with the Utah Unified Fire Authority <u>UFA</u> , Utah Division of Wildlife Resources, <u>U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service</u> (USFWS), and surrounding jurisdictions, communities should develop a plan (including the use of goats) to thin overgrown vegetation to reduce the risks of natural wildland fires and control and manage the existing ecosystems."
216	D. Sakaguchi	NR-1D It is the Utah Division of Forestry Fire and State Lands that deal with wildfire and wildland-urban interface planning for wildfire management, rather than the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources. USFWS is also probably not an appropriate agency to list here.	p. 39	Update with appropriate agencies	Change made: "In collaboration with the Utah Unified Fire Authority <u>UFA</u> , the Utah Division of Forestry Fire and State Lands; Utah Division of Wildlife Resources; USFWS , and surrounding jurisdictions..."
217	Erlor, E.	2 nd sentence: Modify words to "several non-listed species and sensitive habitats are known" OR to "several non-listed <u>and</u> sensitive species and sensitive habitat are known".	Ch. 5, Pg. 39, Table 4, Issue TE-1	No change made.	No action taken, correct as written.
218	D. Sakaguchi	TE-1A "Plan and budget for the next 5-year update of the 2007 INRMP"—this sounds funny to me, since I have a document entitled "Integrated Natural Resources Management Plan Update, 2006-2011". Should the budgeting be for a 2012-2017 update of the INRMP?	p. 39	Change made.	Plan And Budget For <u>the 2012-2017 Next 5-Year Update</u> Of the The 2007 Integrated Natural Resources Management Plan (INRMP). As authorized and outlined in the Sikes Act, the INRMP shall be updated every five years to ensure current natural resources control methods are employed in

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
					the management plans.
219	Erlar, E.	For consistency, modify wording to "Tickville <u>Channel</u> / Gulch on Camp Williams".	Ch. 5, Pg. 40, Table 4, Issue WS-1	Changes made.	Added Channel and Gulch to references.
220	Erlar, E.	All cities and both counties are shown as Responsible Parties; Strategy should address ALL off-installation storm water drainage infrastructure.	Ch. 5, Pg. 40, Table 4, Issue WS-1A	Changes made.	Changed shaded boxes to un-shaded boxes for less-affected jurisdictions.
221	McNulty J	WS-1A, Perhaps add Saratoga Springs too. Recent flooding of City subdivision because of BLM burn scar	Page 40	Saratoga Springs is already listed as a responsible party.	No change made
222	D. Sakaguchi	<p>TE-1B In the Integrated Pest Management section (Section 7.9) of the Camp Williams Integrated Natural Resources Management Plan Update (2006-2011), Camp Williams has already been implementing their noxious weed management plan for the military base.</p> <p>For implementation of a noxious weed plan on <u>private</u> lands, additional partners in the implementation of noxious weed management should include the Salt Lake and Utah County Coordinated Weed Management Area (CWMA) programs, and their respective municipalities. Utah Division of Wildlife Resources (UDWR) does not manage or own any lands within the JLUS area, so we do not conduct noxious weed control in the JLUS area. We (UDWR) are probably not an appropriate agency to list in this issue. USFWS should also be contacted about their inclusion in this issue—generally they do not deal with noxious weeds.</p> <p>This section (according to title) should deal with noxious weed management only. Habitat rehabilitation issues <u>near</u> Camp Williams, if necessary, should be addressed in a separate section (see next comment).</p>	p. 40	Rephrased strategy TE-1B based on input and changed responsible party.	<p>Develop a Noxious Weed Management Plan for Each Jurisdiction (using the Camp Williams plan as a Model). Invasive weeds attack native plant species thus destroying habitat. Camp Williams currently manages weeds through their plan. Surrounding jurisdictions, in collaboration with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and using the Counties Coordinated Weed Management Area programs, should develop and implement noxious weed management programs on state and private lands to reduce destruction of habitat and natural ecosystems near Camp Williams.</p> <p>Other Agencies: BLM, USFWS</p>
223	D. Sakaguchi	A section TE-1C, if desired, could be created to address	p. 40	No strategy added.	No change made, but comment noted.

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
		<p>“enable habitat rehabilitation and revitalization of natural ecosystems <u>near</u> Camp Williams.” Partners for this issues should include the private landowners, property developers, the Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS), and local municipalities (to establish and enforce “natural area” ordinances). However, one should realize that “revitalization of <u>natural ecosystems</u>” usually is not compatible with subdivision development projects, fenced yards, or agriculture zoning. Generally, UDWR is not heavily involved with planning or implementation of habitat rehabilitation on small parcels of private land or within urban subdivisions. If private landowners on neighboring lands want to attract wildlife to their properties, UDWR does have the publications “Creating Landscapes for Wildlife” (available at: wildlife.utah.gov/publications/pdf/landscapingforwildlife.pdf) and “Minimizing bowing damage from deer” (available at: wildlife.utah.gov/habitat/deer-browse.php).</p>			
<p>224</p>	<p>D. Sakaguchi</p>	<p>WS-1B “Installing <u>Natural</u> Berms” is an oxymoron. With the installation of berms, reseeding of the berms and other disturbed areas with desirable adapted plant species is recommended, to prevent (or minimize) establishment of noxious species, rather than just ‘enable new vegetative growth’.</p>	<p>p. 40</p>	<p>Change made.</p>	<p>Recommend install <u>Natural Earth Berms In The Tickville Channel / Gulch.</u> <u>With the installation of berms, reseeding of the berms and other disturbed areas with desirable adapted plant species is recommended, to prevent (or minimize) establishment of noxious species, rather than just ‘enable new vegetative growth’.</u> <u>Due to decades of degradation caused by cattle grazing, installing earth berms strategically will enhance erosion control, enable new vegetation growth, and minimize future water quality issues.</u></p>
<p>225</p>	<p>D. Sakaguchi</p>	<p>WS-1C Monitoring Cattle/Wildlife Trespass—rather than just monitoring, this issue should also recommend fencing and fence maintenance to exclude livestock from CW property, and proper enforcement of trespass cattle (impoundment) with local law enforcement.</p>	<p>p. 40</p>	<p>Change made, strategy re-phrased and moved to NR-1E</p>	<p><u>Develop Formal Procedures to Control Cattle / Wildlife Trespass onto Camp Williams.</u> <u>Camp Williams shall collaborate with private landowners, jurisdictions, and local law enforcement to control livestock trespass by impoundment or other measures to assist in the management of livestock and reduce the destruction of Camp Williams Fence.</u> <u>(See PT-1C for Construction of Camp Williams Perimeter Fence)</u></p>

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
226	Erlor, E.	These tables sorted by jurisdiction are excellent for helping each entity focus on its issues.	Page 41-51, Strategy Summaries by Jurisdiction tables..	Comment noted	No change made
227	Erlor, E.	If possible, add page numbers for each strategy to facilitate use of the strategies.	Page 41-51, Strategy Summaries by Jurisdiction tables..	Formatting change made.	Page numbers added in an additional column to strategy statement in summaries.
228	Mumford S	The issue or strategy # is confusing to follow, as they are not in any alphabetic order. Maybe a page number added to the specific jurisdiction strategy tables at the end would help people find that strategy in the larger more detailed tables.	Pg 41+ Strategy Summaries by Jurisdiction	The strategies are listed in alphabetical order	No change made
229	Mumford S	I'm not sure if we really need to go to the extent of having an ex-officio planning commissioner from Camp Williams. Hopefully having the Camp review all development proposals near their boundary would suffice.	Pg 43 – IC-3B	This person would not serve as a planning commissioner, they would just be a non-voting participant to see what is discussed and decided at the meetings	No change made
230	Mumford S	I still don't think school districts should be restricted or not build within the overlay zones. The kids live near Camp Williams already, and are already exposed to that environment. Having the school there doesn't leave them more at risk than in their own home.		Schools are considered a noise sensitive use, and are not recommended for noise zones, nor for zones of safety concern.	No change made
231	Mumford S	I don't necessarily agree with all of the strategies, so it is important for all to remember that we are not bound to implement each one of the strategies. I do think we will implement many of them. I hope, again, that some can be	Pg 43	Comment noted. The strategies are meant as a toolbox to pull	No change made

#	Name	Concerns/Comments	JLUS Document Location	Response	Action Taken
		combined or removed.		from, and are not binding by being included in the JLUS	
232	McNulty J	1C-3B, As needed or necessary	Page 46	No change.	The complete strategy recommends this should be done for projects that fall within the MCAOD
233	McNulty J	1C-3C, As needed or necessary	Page 46	No change.	The complete strategy recommends this should be done for projects that fall within the MCAOD
234	McNulty J	LG-1A and LG-1B, as needed or necessary	Page 46	No change.	The complete strategy recommends this should be done for projects that fall within the Study Area and Light MCA.
235	D. Sakaguchi	DNR FFSL—IE-1A Why would FFSL be involved with Regional & local transportation planning? Shouldn't this be associated with the previous entity?	p. 51	Change made.	Shaded box deleted from DNR/FFSL row.